Review Article

Denture base reinforcing materials - A review

N Prajwala^{1,*}, C Ravi Kumar¹, M Sujesh¹, D Chalapathi Rao¹, L Pavani¹¹Dept. of Prosthodontics, Mamata Dental College, Khammam, Telangana, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18-05-2020

Accepted 25-05-2020

Available online 11-06-2020

Keywords:

Denture base materials

Reinforcing materials

Fiber reinforcements

Nanofillers

ABSTRACT

Poly methyl methacrylate (PMMA) is one of the most widely used materials for fabricating dentures. Though it has its success in meeting esthetic requirements, it is still far from ideal to meet mechanical requirements of a denture. The fracturing of dentures can be caused by mechanical properties of acrylic resin or by a multitude of factors leading to failure of PMMA. The quest for an alternative material or a chemical modification or use of different denture base material reinforcements of PMMA, provides a clear picture about various developments that have taken place in this field.

© 2020 Published by Innovative Publication. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

1. Introduction

Biomaterials research has progressed steadily in the past few decades and is leading to an improvement in human life expectancy. Dental work includes changing existing materials or designing new and improved products for prosthetic applications. Dentures made of PMMA were popular because of their ability to be molded with ease, excellent esthetics and satisfactory mechanical properties. An ideal denture base material is the one that possesses biocompatibility, excellent esthetics, superior mechanical properties especially modulus of elasticity, impact strength, flexural strength and hardness, sufficient bond strength with artificial teeth, ability to repair and dimensional accuracy.^{1,2}

Poly methyl methacrylate is as yet the most overwhelmingly utilized denture, but, it has certain drawbacks like thermal shrinkage, poor mechanical and fatigue strength, brittle on impact, poor color stability of self-cured resins, residual monomer allergy, porosity, poor conductors of heat and requirement of mechanical retention.^{3,4} Plenty of research literature is available on denture base resins and considering their inherent drawbacks, several researches have been conducted to improve their physical and

mechanical properties by reinforcing with various materials. So, this review article aims at compiling different materials from articles published through the years 1971 to 2017.

The use of hypoallergenic resins overcame the issues of monomer allergy. Therapeutic use of thermoplastic resins has increased.^{3,4} Smith (1957) reported on glass reinforcement of PMMA by mixing discrete fibres with the dough or by lamination with glass cloth. Jennings & Wuebbenhorst (1960) reported on the reinforcement of pedodontic prosthetic appliances with stainless steel mesh, braided wire plate, a stainless steel lingual bar and stainless steel wire. Schreiber (1971), in a preliminary report on the reinforcement of PMMA with carbon fibres, discussed the use of acrylic resin composite prepegs. Bucknall (1977) discussed the addition of rubbers to PMMA. Bowman & Manley (1984) confirmed that the reinforcement of upper dentures with carbon fibre/PMMA inserts significantly reduced the number of breakages. Fraunhofer (1984) determined the effect of reinforcing autopolymerizing acrylic resin with flat braided two strand brass wire and orthodontic wire. Vallittu & Narva (1997) reported a composite that consists of glass fibres and aramid fibres reinforced in resin matrix.⁵

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: prajwalanakka@gmail.com (N. Prajwala).

2. Classification

Denture base reinforcing materials are broadly classified into: Non-metals and Metals.

A. Non- Metals

1. Rubber reinforced PMMA
  2. High impact resins
  3. Fiber reinforced resins
    - i. Carbon/ Graphite fiber reinforced
    - ii. Aramid fiber reinforced
    - iii. Polyethylene fiber reinforced
    - iv. Highly drawn linear polyethylene fibers
    - v. Polypropylene fiber reinforced
    - vi. Polyamide fiber
    - vii. Glass fibres
    - viii. E Glass fibers (Electrical grade)
  4. Hypoallergenic resins
  5. Resins with modifies chemical structure
  6. Thermoplastic resins
    - i. Thermoplastic Nylon
    - ii. Thermoplastic acetal
    - iii. Thermoplastic acrylic
    - iv. Thermoplastic polycarbonate
  7. Nanomaterials
    - i. Nanofillers
      - Alumina (Al_2O_3)
      - Zirconia (ZnO_2)
      - Silica (SiO_2)
      - Nanodiamond
      - Carbon
      - Halloysite
    - ii. Nanofibers
      - Glass nanofibers
      - HA
      - Polyacrylonitrile and PMMA nanofibers
- ### B. Metals
1. Metal fiber reinforced resins
  2. Metal inserts
    - i. Stainless Steel wires
    - ii. Brass wires
  3. Nanofillers
    - i. Silver
    - ii. Copper
    - iii. Titanium (TiO_2)
    - iv. Gold
    - v. Platinum
    - vi. Palladium

2.1. Rubber Reinforced Poly Methyl Methacrylate (PMMA)

Sufficient impact strength and fracture toughness are most essential for denture base resins. One of the main drawbacks of PMMA denture bases is low fracture toughness. Many studies have been performed to evaluate the toughness and

mechanisms of toughness improvement in rubber-modified acrylic polymers.^{6,7} In these polymers, the resin matrix is filled with a rubbery particle which has a modulus of elasticity lower than that of the polymer matrix. Therefore the reinforced polymer will have a lower modulus compared to the unmodified polymer. The most significant advantage of this modification is an increase in toughness and ultimate elongation in comparison with the relatively brittle resin acrylic material.⁸

2.2. High Impact Resins

Rubber reinforced (butadiene-styrene polymethyl methacrylate) particles grafted to MMA for improved bond with PMMA. They have greater impact strength & fatigue properties and so, they are indicated for patients who drop their dentures repeatedly e.g. parkinsonism. Available as powder-liquid system & processing is similar to heat-cure resins.

2.3. Fiber Reinforced Resins

Poor impact strength and poor fatigue resistance is primary issue with PMMA. A research by Johnston et al. reveals that within a few years of manufacture 68 percent dentures break. Mostly they are due to a combination of fatigue and impact. Fiber reinforcement leads to an improvement in strength.

Fig. 1: Multifibered polyethylene strands

2.3.1. Position & placement of fibers

In the weak area of denture, fibers are placed [Figure 3]

Reinforcements are placed 90 degrees to the fracture [Figure 4]

Unidirectional fibers are stronger [Figure 5]

Mesh is to be placed on the exterior of resin surface [Figure 6]

Table 1:

	Type of fibers	Available as	Advantages	Disadvantages
1.	Metal fiber	Thin filaments of diameters from 1 to 80 micrometers	Use of full lengths of metal fibers gives the best reinforcement for strength. ⁹	Resin becomes unesthetic and there is poor adhesion between metal & resin & metal is prone to corrosion. ⁹
2.	Carbon/ Graphite fiber	Chopped, continuous, woven, braided & tubular	Flexural, impact strengths are increased. Addition of these fibers prevent fatigue, strengthens the resin and is easy to handle. ¹⁰	Unesthetic, polishing is difficult & weakens the denture.
3.	Aramid fiber		Strength is increased.	Unesthetic, polishing is difficult.
4.	Polyethylene fiber (Figure 1).	Multifibered strands	Impact strength, modulus of elasticity are improved ¹¹ and good esthetics.	No increase in flexural strength. Placement of fibers & finishing is difficult.
5.	Highly drawn linear polyethylene fibers		High tensile strength, notch insensitivity & cracks do not propagate through the fibers ¹²	
6.	Polypropylene fiber		Improved impact strength and highest is when treated with plasma. ¹³ Silanized fiber improved its transverse, tensile, and impact strengths.	Wear resistance was highly decreased ¹³
7.	Polyamide fiber (Nylon and Aramid)		Aramid- biocompatible, Increase in flexural strength and modulus. Nylon- increased fracture resistance and resistance to continual stress. ¹³	Aramid- As fillers increased, hardness decreased. Its yellow color is a drawback.
8.	Glass fibers (Figure 2).	Continuous fiber and roving, staple fiber and chopped strand mat.	Improved flexural properties and fatigue resistance. It has good aesthetics & excellent polishing characteristics. They resist extreme temperature and moisture. ¹⁴	Void formation in fiber-polymer matrix because of poor impregnation of fibers and polymerization shrinkage.
9.	E Glass fibers (Electrical grade)	Mesh and Fiber	They are translucent thus, providing esthetics. Because of glass fiber bonding, improved strength. ¹⁵	
10.	Glass nanofibers	Nanofibers	Improvements in strength, modulus, and toughness. Crack propagation is inhibited by the fibers with simultaneous reinforcement of the matrix. ^{16 17}	Incomplete wetting by resin, which compromises strength as the result of air inclusion and voids ²² . Another drawback of nanofibers is inadequate dispersion into the resin matrix that leads to the creation of bundles. These bundles may even act as defects ^{23 24}
11.	HA		Dispersion of HA nanofibers into a resin matrix at low mass can significantly improve the mechanical properties of the composite. ^{18 19}	
12.	Polyacrylonitrile (PAN) and PMMA nanofibers		PMMA is surrounded by a dental resin matrix. After curing, linear PMMA chains become interpenetrated and entangled with the cross-linked resin matrix network and produce a strong nano interface linking force with strong interfacial adhesion between nanofibers and resin matrix. ^{20 21}	

Fig. 2: Glassfibers

Fig. 3: Fiber placed in weakest area

Fig. 4: Fiber at 90° to fracture

2.4. Hypoallergenic Resins

Addition of hydroxyapatite fillers increases fracture toughness.²⁵ Addition of Al_2O_3 fillers increases the flexural strength & thermal diffusivity that could lead to more patient satisfaction.²⁶ The ratio of 2.2:1 by weight of powder to liquid was found to be the best ratio for mixing the material to give the best results in formulation.^{27,28} Addition of ceramic or sapphire whiskers to improve thermal

Fig. 5: Unidirectional fibers are stronger

Fig. 6: Mesh placed on exterior of prosthesis

diffusivity.²⁹ Addition of 11-14% of several compounds of either bismuth or uranium or 35% of an organo-zirconium compound impart radiopacity equivalent to that of aluminium.³⁰ Addition of Triphenyl Bismuth (Ph_3Bi) is a promising new additive to provide radiopacity.³¹

2.5. Thermoplastic Resins

This new procedure, during which a fully polymerized basic material is softened by heat and injected afterwards, has opened up a new chapter in making dentures.³¹

2.5.1. Advantages

They provide excellent esthetics with tooth or tissue colored materials and are very comfortable for the patient. These are stable, have high fatigue endurance, high creep resistance, excellent wear characteristics & solvent resistance. They are non-porous so no growth of bacteria, and even if it is non-porous, it still retains a slight amount of moisture to keep it comfortable against gums. They are unbreakable, flexible, light weight and have very little or no monomer content.³¹

2.5.1.1. Thermoplastic nylon. The principal constituent of thermoplastic nylon is polyamide. It uses Rapid Injection which introduced the first flexite thermoplastic. Thermoplastic nylon is injected at temperatures from 274 to 293°C. This material generally replaces the metal, and the pink acrylic denture material used to build the framework for standard removable partial dentures.³² Valplast & flexiplast are polyamides (nylon plastics), since then there is a continued interest in thermoplastic dental materials.^{33, 34}

2.5.1.1.1. Advantages. It is virtually invisible (translucent allowing natural tissue to show through), and there are no metal clasps, only tissue coloured clasps that blend with natural teeth, thus provides excellent esthetics.³⁴⁻³⁸ Nylon is unbreakable, light weight & does not warp or become brittle. Because of its flexibility & incredible patient comfort, nylon is ideal for patients considering a removable partial denture. In addition, it involves non-invasive procedures & can be relined or repaired. Nylon shows no discoloration over time & is non-porous so no growth of bacteria.³⁴⁻³⁸

2.5.1.1.2. Limitations. Nylon is little more difficult to trim & polish. Also it is not strong enough for conventional tooth borne rest seats.³⁴

2.5.1.1.3. Lucitone frs flexible dental resin. Provides aesthetic partial dentures and very strong night guards when used with success injection system (closed flask system). Advantages are same as of valplast partial resin and it has more impact resistance.

2.5.1.2. Thermoplastic acetal. Acetal was first proposed as an unbreakable thermoplastic resin removable partial denture material in 1971. Rapid injection system developed the first tooth coloured clasps with thermoplastic fluoropolymer.³⁹ In 1986, Dental 'D' reintroduced tooth colored clasps using Acetal resin. Acetal as a homo-polymer has good short-term mechanical properties, but as a co-polymer has better long-term stability.

Fig. 7: Single-walled and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (CNTs)

2.5.1.2.1. Mechanism of action. Resin clasps engage the first third (or origin) of the undercut rather than the terminal third as in metal clasps allowing three to four times the retention of metal. The clasp can then be placed more gingival increasing its aesthetic appeal beyond the obvious

colored properties.⁴²

2.5.1.2.2. Advantages. Thermoplastic acetal comes in 18 Vita shades + 3 pink shades, to match most people's teeth or gums and clasps are tooth coloured clasps so provide good esthetics. Labial bars can replace bulky, un-aesthetic metal swing-lock type bars. Acetal is flexible and hypoallergenic (monomer free). The teeth and clasps can be injected together in resin, or into the existing denture adding many new applications. Adjusting is usually not necessary.³⁹

2.5.1.2.3. Limitations. An injected resin major connector for temporary partials is no longer recommended because the major connectors must be rigid while resin is flexible and moreover it will not seal around the base of denture teeth allowing seepage of fluid under and around the teeth. Their use is limited in resin anterior teeth because it does not have the natural translucency.³⁹

2.5.1.2.4. Applications . Acetal resin is very strong, resists wear and fracturing, and is quite flexible. These characteristics make it an ideal material for pre-formed clasps for partial dentures, single pressed unilateral partial dentures, partial denture frameworks, provisional bridges, occlusal splints, and even implant abutments.⁴⁹

2.5.1.3. Thermoplastic acrylic. Heat-cure PMMA shows high water absorption, volumetric changes and residual monomer and porosity.⁵⁰ Even though Thermoplastic acrylic has poor impact resistance, it has enough tensile and flexural strength. It is available in tooth and gingival colors and has good esthetics. Acrylic doesn't wear as much during occlusal forces and consequently won't maintain vertical dimension over long periods of your time.⁵⁰

2.5.1.4. Thermoplastic polycarbonate. It is a polymer chain of bisphenol-A carbonate. It is similar to Acetal resin, and is also strong, resists fracture, is flexible and does not wear. Polycarbonate is used for temporary crown & bridges. The material has a good translucency and finishes very well, providing improved esthetics.^{39,49,50}

2.5.1.4.1. Advantages. It doesn't use monomer and a catalyst in process so residual monomer doesn't begin to melt. It also has lesser water sorption property and so, no offensive odour and does not cause redness of oral mucous membrane.^{39,49,50}

2.5.1.4.2. Disadvantages . Complicated processing equipments with greater distortion from water sorption, high flexibility, low adhesion to acrylic teeth and low hardness.

2.5.1.4.3. Applications of thermoplastic resins . Applications of thermoplastic resins include:

- Implant abutments
- Preformed partial denture clasp,

Table 2:

	Nanofillers	Advantages	Disadvantages
1.	Silver	They exhibit broad-spectrum bactericidal, fungicidal activities at very low concentrations and strength is increased. ⁴⁰	Discolouration of resin
2.	Copper	Improved antibacterial, anti fungal properties of resin. Increased tensile and flexural strength when added in minimal concentrations	Discolouration of resin
3.	TiO ₂	Pleasing color, high biocompatibility, excellent mechanical properties, low cost, high stability, and appropriate antimicrobial effects ⁴¹ . Has great oxidizing power under UV radiation, and can decompose organic materials and bacteria ⁴²⁻⁴³	
4.	Alumina (Al ₂ O ₃)	Improved thermal properties, flexural, tensile, compressive, impact strengths, and surface hardness of PMMA and decreased warpage. ¹³	
5.	Zirconia	Impact, flexural, compressive strengths have improved. Thermal conductivity fatigue strength, fracture toughness and hardness have increased. It also may have antifungal property. ¹³	Occasionally, low flexural strength values have been reported.
6.	Gold (Au)	Almost doubles the flexural and thermal properties, which could lead to more patient acceptance.	
7.	Platinum (Pt)	Enhances mechanical properties and provides antimicrobial properties. Its addition also increases the bending deflection of denture base resin.	
8.	Palladium (Pd)	Better bending strength than Gold and Silver. ¹³	
9.	Silicon Dioxide (SiO ₂)	The mechanical properties and thermal properties of PMMA were improved. Impact strength, transverse strength and surface hardness were increased.	Excess amount lead to agglomeration and crack propagation, which results in reduced hardness and fracture toughness. ¹³
10	Nano diamonds	High hardness and thermal conductivity. Nano Diamonds increased the impact strength of PMMA, and also increased fracture toughness was seen.	There is agglomeration of the nanodiamonds, which may be points of stress concentration. ¹³
11	Copper nanotubes	CNTs are strong, resilient, and lightweight. They have excellent mechanical and electrical properties ⁴⁴	The reinforcing effect of CNTs may be restricted because of weak interfacial adhesion with the resin matrix as well as the tendency of nanotubes for agglomeration, which causes poor distribution throughout the matrix. ⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸

- Flexible tooth born partial denture framework,
- Occlusal appliances,
- Orthodontic and sleep apnea appliances.
- Provisional crowns and bridges,
- Single cast partial dentures⁵¹

2.6. Nanomaterials

The concept of nanotechnology was first introduced in 1959 by Feynman. Since then, nanotechnology has been widely used in many applications, including medical sciences, and plays an important role in diagnosis, treatment, and regenerative medicine.⁵² A nanomaterial is an object, which at least one of its dimensions is at the nanometer scale (approximately 1 to 100 nm). Nanomaterials are categorized

according to dimension – those with all 3 dimensions less than 100 nm [nanoparticles (Nps)]; those that have 2 dimensions less than 100 nm (nanotubes, nanofibers, and nanowires); and those that have one dimension less than 100 nm (thin films, layers, and coatings).⁵³ Use of nanomaterials in composites have achieved better esthetics and mechanical properties.⁵⁴

2.6.1. Nanofillers

Size, shape, surface area, concentration, and dispersion of nanofillers into resin matrix all affect its mechanical properties. Alumina NPs, zirconia (ZrO₂) NPs, titania (TiO₂) NPs, silver NPs, gold NPs, Pt NPs, HA NPs, SiO₂ NPs etc are introduced to improve the mechanical properties of PMMA.¹³ Nanofillers are available in different shapes

such as cones, spheres, rods, tubes and flowers. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs), ZrO₂ nanotubes, TiO₂ nanotubes, and halloysite nanotubes (HNT) are among the nanotubes used for reinforcement of dental materials. CNTs are classified into 2 main types according to the structure of the CNTs—single-walled and multi-walled (Figure 7).⁵⁵

2.7. Self Reinforcement

Self-reinforced materials have been used in polyglycolic acid resorbable screws and plates and collagen forming materials. Gilbert, Ney & Lautenschlager in 1995, has discussed this concept of self-reinforcement of polymers. The concept is based on the idea of a fibrous material, which is chemically identical to the matrix holding the fibres in place. The composite material consisted of high strength, high ductility PMMA fibres embedded in a matrix of PMMA. The properties of unidirectional continuous form were evaluated and showed significantly greater tensile properties, flexural ductility, fracture toughness and fatigue strength compared with bulk PMMA.⁵

3. Conclusion

The progress in denture base resins have provided encouraging results pertaining to its strength and esthetics. Many drawbacks of PMMA denture base resins have been conquered and it is certain that in future, research will offer newer materials which are more biocompatible with better mechanical endurance, offering better treatment and care to the patient.

4. Source of Funding

None.

5. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

- Meng TR, Latta MA. Physical Properties of Four Acrylic Denture Base Resins. *J Contemp Dent Pract*. 2005;6(4):93–100.
- Alla RK, Sajjan S, Alluri VR, Ginjupalli K, Upadhy N. Influence of Fiber Reinforcement on the Properties of Denture Base Resins. *J Biomater Nanobiotechnol*. 2013;4(1):91–7.
- Danilewicz-Stysiak Z. Allergy as a cause of denture sore mouth. *J Prosth Dent*. 1971;25(1):16–20.
- Nandal S, Ghalaut P, Shekhawat H, Gulati M. New Era in Denture Base Resins: A Review. *Dent J Adv Stud*. 2013;01(03):136–43.
- Jagger DC, Harrison A, Jandt KD. The reinforcement of dentures. *J Oral Rehabil*. 1999;26(3):185–94.
- Rodford R. The development of high impact strength denture-base materials. *J Dent*. 1986;14(5):214–7.
- Rodford RA. Further development and evaluation of high impact strength denture base materials. *J Dent*. 1990;18(3):151–7.
- Broutman LJ, Panizza G. Micromechanics Studies of Rubber-reinforced Glassy Polymers. *Int J Polym Mater*. 1971;1:95–109.
- Kostoulas IE, Kavoura VT, Frangou MJ, Polyzois GL. The effect of length parameter on the repair strength of acrylic resin using fibers or metal wires. *Gen Dent*. 2008;56(1):51–5.
- DeBoer J, Vermilyea SG, Brady RE. The effect of carbon fiber orientation on the fatigue resistance and bending properties of two denture resins. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1984;51(1):119–21.
- Segerstrom S. Carbon-Graphite fiber reinforced polymers for implant superstructures. *Dent Mater*. 2007;23:1150–6.
- Dixon DL, Breeding LC. The transverse strengths of three denture base resins reinforced with polyethylene fibers. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1992;67(3):417–9.
- Gad M, Fouda S, Al-Harbi F, Năpănkangas R, Raustia A. PMMA denture base material enhancement: a review of fiber, filler, and nanofiller addition. *Int J Nanomed*. 2017;Volume 12:3801–12.
- Ladizesky NH, Ho CF, Chow TW. Reinforcement of complete denture bases with continuous high performance polyethylene fibers. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1992;68(6):934–9.
- Karacaer O. The effect of length & concentration of glass fibers on the mechanical properties of an injection & a compression molded denture base polymer. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2003;90(4):385–93.
- Li X, Liu W, Sun L. Resin composites reinforced by nanoscaled fibers or tubes for dental regeneration. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014;p. 542958.
- Gao Y, Sagi S, Zhang L. Electrospun nanoscaled glass fiber reinforcement of bis-GMA/TEGDMA dental composites. *J Appl Polym Sci*. 2008;110:2063–70.
- Arcis RW, Lopez-Macipe A, Toledano M. Mechanical properties of visible light-cured resins reinforced with hydroxyapatite for dental restoration. *Dent Mater*. 2002;18:49–57.
- Cucuruz AT, Andronescu E, Fica A, Ilie A, Iordache F. Synthesis and characterization of new composite materials based on poly(methacrylic acid) and hydroxyapatite with applications in dentistry. *Int J Pharm*. 2016;510(2):516–23.
- Cheng L, Zhou X, Zhong H. NaF loaded core-shell PAN-PMMA nanofibers as reinforcements for Bis-GMA/TEGDMA restorative resins. *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl*. 2013;34:262–9.
- Vidotti HA, Manso AP, Leung V, do Valle AL, Ko F, Carvalho RM. Flexural properties of experimental nanofiber reinforced composite are affected by resin composition and nanofiber/resin ratio. *Dent Mater*. 2015;31:1132–41.
- Boyd SA, Su B, Sandy JR, Ireland AJ. Cellulose Nanofibre Mesh for Use in Dental Materials. *Coatings*. 2012;2(3):120–37.
- Chen L, Xu C, Wang Y. BisGMA/TEGDMA dental nanocomposites containing glyoxylic acid-modified high-aspect ratio hydroxyapatite nanofibers with enhanced dispersion. *Biomed Mater*. 2012;7:45014.
- Fong H. Electrospun nylon 6 nanofiber reinforced BIS-GMA/TEGDMA dental restorative composite resins. *Polymer*. 2004;45:2427–32.
- Pfeiffer P, Rosenbauer EU. Residual methyl methacrylate monomer, water sorption, and water solubility of hypoallergenic denture base materials. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2004;92(1):72–78.
- Tanoue N, Nagano K, Matsumura H. Use of a light-polymerized composite removable partial denture base for a patient hypersensitive to poly(methyl methacrylate), polysulfone, and polycarbonate: A clinical report. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2005;93(1):17–20.
- Mohamed SH, Arifin A, Ishak ZAM, Nizam A, Samsudin AR. Mechanical & thermal properties of hydroxyapatite filled poly (methyl methacrylate) heat processed denture base material. *Med J Malaysia*. 2004;59:25–6.
- Ellakwa AE. The effect of aluminium oxide on the Flexural Strength and Thermal Diffusivity of Heat Polymerized acrylic resin. *J Prosthodont*. 2008;17(6):439–44.
- Mohamed SH, Arifin A, Ishak M, Nizam ZA, Samsudin A, R A. The Effect Of Powder To Liquid Ratio On Tensile Strength And Glass Transition Temperature Of Alumina Filled Poly Methyl Methacrylate (PMMA) Denture Base Material. *Med J Malaysia*. 2004;59:147–8.
- Bardon CP, Fosse T, Serre D, Medenier I. Effect Of 2% quaternary ammonium compound polymerised with a denture acrylic resin. *Gerodontology*. 2006;23(2):111–6.
- B P. The New Acrylic Resin With Improved Thermal Diffusivity. *J Prosthet Dent*. 1998;79:278–84.

32. Rawls HR, Starr J, Kasten FH, Murray M, Smid J, Cabasso I, et al. Radiopaque acrylic resins containing miscible heavy-metal compounds. *Dent Mater.* 1990;6(4):250–5.
33. Rawls HR, Marshall MV, Cardenas HL, Bhagat HR, Cabasso I. Cytotoxicity evaluation of a new radiopaque resin additive—triphenyl bismuth. *Dent Mater.* 1992;8(1):54–9.
34. Negruțiu M, Sinescu C, Liliana S. Guidelines of removable partial dentures. Ed. Marineasa, Timișoara; 2004.
35. Lowe LG. Flexible denture flanges for patients exhibiting undercut tuberosities and reduced width of the buccal vestibule: a clinical report. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2004;92(2):128–31.
36. Phoenix RD, Mansueto MA, Ackerman NA, Jones RE. Evaluation of Mechanical and Thermal Properties of Commonly Used Denture Base Resins. *J Prosthodont.* 2004;13(1):17–27.
37. Janda R. Prothesenkunststoffe aus werkstoffkundlicher Sicht. *Quintessenz Zahntechnik.* 1997;23(5):665–72.
38. Negruțiu M, Romînu M, Florița Z. Influența tehnologiei de prelucrare asupra calității polimerilor pentru proteze mobile și mobilizabile. *Revista de Stomatologie.* 2001;V((1/2)):107–12.
39. Parvizi A, Lindquist T, Schneider R, Williamson D, Boyer D, Dawson DV, et al. Comparison of the Dimensional Accuracy of Injection-Molded Denture Base Materials to that of Conventional Pressure-Pack Acrylic Resin. *J Prosthodont.* 2004;13(2):83–89.
40. Sivakumar I, Arunachalam KS, Sajjan S, Ramaraju AV, Rao B, Kamaraj B, et al. Incorporation of Antimicrobial Macromolecules in Acrylic Denture Base Resins: A Research Composition and Update. *J Prosthodont.* 2014;23(4):284–90.
41. Chatterjee A. Properties improvement of PMMA using nano TiO₂. *J Appl Polym Sci.* 2010;118:2890–7.
42. Elsaka SE, Hamouda IM, Swain MV. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles addition to a conventional glass-ionomer restorative: Influence on physical and antibacterial properties. *J Dent.* 2011;39(9):589–98.
43. Alwan SA, Alameer SS. The Effect of the Addition of Silanized Nano Titania Fillers on Some Physical and Mechanical Properties of Heat Cured Acrylic Denture Base Materials. *J Baghdad Coll Dent.* 2015;27:86–91.
44. Wang R, Tao J, Yu B. Characterization of multiwalled carbon nanotube-polymethyl methacrylate composite resins as denture base materials. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2014;111:318–26.
45. Li X, Fan Y, Watari F. Current investigations into carbon nanotubes for biomedical application. *Biomedical Materials.* 2010;5(2):022001–022001. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-6041/5/2/022001>. doi:10.1088/1748-6041/5/2/022001.
46. Hamouda. Current perspectives of nanoparticles in medical and dental biomaterials. *Journal of Biomedical Research.* 2012;26(3):143–51. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.7555/jbr.26.20120027>. doi:10.7555/jbr.26.20120027.
47. Chen J, Rao AM, Lyuksyutov S. Dissolution of full-length single-walled carbon nanotubes. *J Phys Chem B.* 2001;105:2525–2533.
48. Zhang F, Xia Y, Xu L. Surface modification and microstructure of single-walled carbon nanotubes for dental resin-based composites. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater.* 2008;86:90–7.
49. Keenan PLJ, Radford DR, Clark RKF. Dimensional change in complete dentures fabricated by injection molding and microwave processing. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2003;89(1):37–44.
50. Donovan TE, Cho GC. Esthetic considerations with removable partial dentures. *J Calif Dent Assoc.* 2003;31(7):551–7.
51. Negruțiu M, Sinescu C, Romanu M, Pop D, Lakatos S. Thermoplastic Resins for Flexible Framework Removable Partial Dentures. *TMJ.* 2005;55(3):295–99.
52. Janda R. Prothesenkunststoffe aus werkstoffkundlicher Sicht. *Quintessenz Zahntechnik.* 1997;23(5):665–72.
53. Grumezescu AM. Nanobiomaterials in Dentistry Applications of Nanobiomaterials. Elsevier; 2016. p. 498.
54. F L, Commission DSE. Nanotechnologies: Principles, Applications, Implications and Hands-on Activities. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities; 2012. p. 406–406.
55. Hoshika S, Nagano F, Tanaka T. Expansion of nanotechnology for dentistry: effect of colloidal platinum nanoparticles on dentin adhesion mediated by 4-META/MMA-TBB. *J Adhes Dent.* 2011;13:411–6.

Author biography

N Prajwala Post Graduate

C Ravi Kumar Professor and HOD

M Sujesh Professor

D Chalapathi Rao Professor

L Pavani Senior Lecturer

Cite this article: Prajwala N, Kumar CR, Sujesh M, Rao DC, Pavani L. Denture base reinforcing materials - A review. *IP Ann Prosthodont Restor Dent* 2020;6(2):52-59.